

Qualitative Transparency Deliberations

hosted by the Social Science Research Institute at Duke University on behalf of the APSA Section for Qualitative and Multi-Method Research

<https://www.qualtd.net/>

Automated text analysis: your reactions to these transparency guidelines?

<https://www.qualtd.net/viewtopic.php?f=23&t=199>

Page 1 of 1

Automated text analysis: your reactions to these transparency guidelines?

Posted: **Mon Dec 19, 2016 3:58 am**

by **AlanJacobs**

Dear Colleagues,

As a way of prompting some conversation about transparency in automated text analysis, we're inviting responses to a recent, brief essay by David Romney, Brandon Stewart, and Dustin Tingley that lays out some general transparency principles for this set of methods. The essay can be downloaded here:

[Romney, Stewart, Tingley.pdf](#)

(415.23 KiB) Downloaded 38 times

Please read the piece -- it's about 4 pages long -- and let us know your thoughts. Are there additional ways, beyond those discussed in the essay, in which scholars can or should be transparent about text-analytic procedures? Are there additional challenges to transparency in this area that we should be wrestling with? Do newly developed methods/technologies in this field have implications for what transparency ought to look like? Any disagreements with the principles articulated here? Can you point us to specific text-analytic studies that you consider exemplary in their handling of transparency issues or that demonstrate useful ways of operationalizing transparency?

All comments -- short, long, identified, anonymous -- are most welcome!

Many thanks,

Alan

All times are UTC-04:00

Page 1 of 1

Powered by phpBB® Forum Software © phpBB Limited

<https://www.phpbb.com/>